



## CAPITOL LAKE — DESCHUTES ESTUARY

Long-Term Management Project Environmental Impact Statement

# MEETING PRESENTATION

*Welcome to our online meeting!*

- *Choose your phone or computer speakers/microphone for audio. If you use your phone, be sure to mute your computer speakers to prevent sound feedback when you speak.*
- *Everyone will be muted at the start of the call and when not speaking.*
- *If you experience technical difficulties, call or text Ray and he will get to you as soon as possible. Thanks!*

**Funding and Governance Work Group**

**June 2, 2020**


# Agenda

---

- ✦ EIS schedule update
- ✦ Foundation of past process for future work
- ✦ Approach to future work
- ✦ Brainstorm: allocation framework
- ✦ Revisit exercises on benefits
- ✦ Contributions homework
- ✦ Next steps
- ✦ Public comments



# Using Zoom



*Your screen should look like this. The meeting slides will appear in the main window, with video to the side in "gallery mode."*



**CAPITOL LAKE — DESCHUTES ESTUARY**

Long-Term Management Project Environmental Impact Statement

# Guiding Principles

1. Dedicated and secure funding sources.
2. Those who **contribute** to the problem should participate in funding or paying for the solution.
3. Those who **benefit** from the solution should participate in funding or paying for the solution.
4. Shared **distribution** of costs.
5. State **participation**.
6. Watershed-wide in **scale**.
7. Manageable governance structure.
8. Commitment to a long-term collaborative process.
9. Adequately resourced administration.
10. Support the goals and objectives of both the CL/LDW management plan, as well as goals for the future of the overall watershed.




# Applying Theory to Practice

- ✦ **Identify beneficiaries and types of value**
  - *Downtown businesses, Port, local residents, the State, others?*
- ✦ **Are property rights clearly understood?**
  - *Revenue, dredging costs, property values, ecosystem services, others?*
- ✦ **Is bargaining costless?**
  - *Are the right people at the table?*
- ✦ **What does an efficient outcome look like?**
  - *Are any parties going to be made worse off?*
- ✦ **Is an outcome equitable?**
  - *Would a party accept an allocation if they weren't at the table?*



# Governance Models—Construction of Preferred Alternative

---



# Allocation Framework

---

- ✦ Framework operationalizes the Guiding Principles
- ✦ Make **explicit** the assumptions that underly individual decisions about who benefits/contributed to current conditions.
- ✦ No magic formula
- ✦ **What is needed to support decision-makers?**



# Operationalizing Guiding Principles

1. Those who **contribute** to the problem should participate in funding or paying for the solution.
  1. Contributors include those who create sediment load
  2. Contributors include those who built and maintain the dam
  3. Contributors include those who avoid costs in the status quo
  4. Other?
2. Those who **benefit** from the solution should participate in funding or paying for the solution.
  1. Beneficiaries include adjacent and surrounding taxing entities
  2. Beneficiaries include citizens
  3. Beneficiaries include visitors and businesses
  4. Other?





# Discussion of November Benefits Exercise

---

- ✦ Placeholder for group discussion about the results of anonymous polls completed at November 2019 meeting.



# Next Steps

---

- ✦ Anonymous poll homework: Who contributed to existing conditions?
- ✦ At next meeting:
  - Provide draft framework for allocating costs, for group discussion
  - Give you homework to allocate costs for your organization with framework
  - Discuss next steps for process, including:
    - What do you need from us to support decision-making through the process
    - What we will need from you to continue forward
- ✦ August target for next meeting

